Car Damage Detection and Evaluation

**Capstone Project** 

### **Our Team**

#### **Supervisor**





Msc. Do Thai Giang



#### **Pham Viet Duong**

Do Huu Dai

### **Table of Contents**



#### Introduction

An introduction about current state of car insurance and motivation of our project



#### **Overview**

Overview about method of this Project.



#### **Dataset and Preprocessing**

Prepare data and preprocessing for training



#### Methodology

Theory and Model for this project

Result Result of our Experiment

Conclusion & QA Conclusion, Future work and Q&A

## **O1** Introduction

## Introduction



### **PROBLEM AND MOTIVATION**



### **PROBLEM AND MOTIVATION**

Insurance processing takes a lot of time and effort to handle. For example:

- Step 1: Notify relevant parties in the event of an accident.
- Step 2: Assessment of damages and losses.
- Step 3: Conducting car insurance compensation appraisal
- Step 4: Processing car insurance compensation

=> The work process takes a lot of time and effort from everyone involved.

### **PROBLEM AND MOTIVATION**

Recognizing car parts and detecting damages will decrease the steps in the insurance claim processing.

Benefits:

- Identifying the location, evaluation the damaged and classifying them.
- Quickly identify vehicle information

=> This can quickly capture information, accelerate the processing of insurance claims, and provide highly accurate information.





## **Overview**

# How to solve this problem ?



### Image Segmentation

Image segmentation reduces the complexity of a digital image by dividing it into subgroups, or image segments, for further processing or analysis.

Two type of Image Segmentation:

- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation



### Semantic Segmentation

- Label each pixel in the image with its respective category.
- Ignore distinctions between instances and only consider pixels.



### Instance Segmentation

- Instance Segmentation creates segment maps of each category and each instance of a class, making image inferences more meaningful.
- Instance Segmentation can be referred as a combination of semantic segmentation and object detection.



## 03 Dataset and Preprocessing









- Crawl data from multi-source
- Export data from company

- Clean data
- Labeling car-part
- Export data with annotations



• Crawl Data

Using Selenium and BS4 to crawl data from Google and various websites, specifically car dealership websites: bonbanh, chotot,...

drive your dreams b@nbanh.c@m Trang chủ Tìm mua ô tô Salon Ôtô Bán ô tô Giá xe ô tô Cần mua ? My BonBanh Tim theo hãng xe Tin bán ô tô Tin mua ô tô Đăng nhập Tìm kiếm Audi bonbanh.com Bentley Đăng ký BMW Toàn quốc Hà Nôi TP HCM Chon tỉnh thành khác 💌 Chevrolet Đăng tin bán xe ô tổ MUA BÁN Ô TÔ Tống : 41,571 tin Đăng tin mua xe ô tô Daewoo Ford Lexus RX 350 - 2020 3 Tỷ 99 Tr. Hà Nôi Xe cũ Tîm xe đăng bán 🖊 Honda 2020 Salon ôtô Tìm người mua xe Hyundai \*Xe nhập khẩu, màu đen, máy xăng LH: Hùng Số 8 Trần Đăng Ninh, Cầu Giấy số tự động, đã đi 15,000 km Thành viên cao cấp Isuzu Hướng dẫn sử dụng Xe có sẵn giao ngay Jeep ET: 0914 868 698 #Lexus RX350 model 2021 Kia Đen/Nâu cực mới √ Odo : 1.5v km Mã: 4956487 thì đã LandRover Vip ShowRoom - Salon Ô tô Lexus Ford Territory Titanium X - 2023 Hà Nôi Xe mới 894 Triêu Manh Phong Auto [Hải Dương] 2023 Mazda Chuyên mua bán trao đổi ô tô mới cũ, chất lượng \*Xe lắp ráp trong nước, màu trắng, LH: Minh Đức Mercedes Benz máy xăng , số tư đông ... Hà Nội cao MG Ford Territory Titanium X 1.5 AT Salon Ô tô Siu Hùng [TPHCM] ĐT: 0961 097 708 2023 Territory Thế Hệ Mới được Mini Mua bán, trao đổi các dòng xe cao cấp trang bì gói công . Mitsubishi Mã: 4956484 UNUN Car I TRUCH

• Labeling Data

To represent and serve the training process effectively, we use the Coco Annotations format and labels are annotated using polygons.



### **Overview Dataset**

25,606 images Total image of dataset

Train-set (90%)

Test-set (10%) 🔵

### **Overview Dataset**

- - **25,606 images** Total image of dataset

- Scratched (63,8%)
- Dent, flatten (thumb)(22,6%)
- Broken, punctured, torn(9,9%) 😑
  - Cracked(2,1%)
    - Shed(1,6%) –

### **Training Pipeline**



### **Test pipeline**



04 Methodology

### **1. Swin Transformer**

- a. Transformer Architecture
- b. Vision Transformer
- c. Swin Transformer

### 2. Cascade Mask R-CNN

- a. Mask R-CNN
- b. Cascade Mask R-CNN

### 3. Method

### Transformer Architecture

The Transformer architecture is based on the self-attention mechanism, which allows the model to make predictions by selectively focusing on different parts of the input sequence.

A Transformer Block contains an encoder block and an decoder block:

- An encoder consists of Multi-Head Attention (MSA), Feed Forward and Add & Norm.
- An decoder consists of Masked MSA, MSA, Feed Forward and Add & Norm.



### Positional Embedding

Positional encoding describes the location or position of an entity in a sequence by assigning a unique representation to each position.

#### Positional embedding formula:

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{PE}_{(pos,2i)} = \sin(\text{pos}/10000^{2i/d_{model}}) \\ &\text{PE}_{(pos,2i+1)} = \cos(\text{pos}/10000^{2i/d_{model}}) \end{aligned}$ 

pos: is the position of the word in the sentence
i : is used for mapping column indices 0 ≤ i < d/2</li>
d\_model : is the dimension of the output embedding space



### Multi Head Attention

Scaled Dot-Product Attention







**Scaled Dot-Product Attention:** 

Attention(Q, K, V) = softmax 
$$\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)$$
 V

#### **Multi-Head Attention:**

MultiHead(Q, K, V) = Concat( $head_1, ..., head_h$ ) $W^O$ where  $head_i$  = Attention( $QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V$ )

### Vision Transformer



An image is divided into fixed-size patches, linearly embedded, and position embedded. The vector sequence is fed to a standard Transformer encoder. The Norm layers are applied before the MSA and MLP blocks. Residual connection is still used after every sub-layer.

### Swin Transformer



- Swin-T: C = 96, layer numbers ={2, 2, 6, 2}.
- Swin-S: C = 96, layer numbers ={2, 2, 18, 2}.
- Swin-B: C = 128, layer numbers ={2, 2, 18, 2}.
- Swin-L: C = 192, layer numbers ={2, 2, 18, 2}.

C is the channel number of the hidden layers in the first stage.

### **Patch Merging**

The Patch-Merging layer merges four patches. So with every merge, both height and width of the image are further reduced by a factor of 2.

Stage-1, the input resolution is (H/4, W/4).

Stage-2, after patch merging, the resolution will change to (H/8, W/8).

**Stage-3** the input resolution would be (H/16, W/16).

Stage-4, the input resolution would be (H/32, W/32).





### **Swin Block**



Swin Transformer blocks are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{l} &= \text{W-MSA} \left( \text{LN} \left( \mathbf{z}^{l-1} \right) \right) + \mathbf{z}^{l-1}, \\ \mathbf{z}^{l} &= \text{MLP} \left( \text{LN} \left( \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{l} \right) \right) + \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{l}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{l+1} &= \text{SW-MSA} \left( \text{LN} \left( \mathbf{z}^{l} \right) \right) + \mathbf{z}^{l}, \\ \mathbf{z}^{l+1} &= \text{MLP} \left( \text{LN} \left( \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{l+1} \right) \right) + \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{l+1}, \end{split}$$

### **Shifted Window**



Shift the window by a factor M/2, where M is window size.



Swin Transformer uses cyclic shift to reduce computation heavy.

### Mask R-CNN

Mask R-CNN, extends Faster R-CNN by adding a branch for predicting an object mask in parallel with the existing branch for bounding box recognition.



Mask

### **Region Proposal Network**



At each position, the window slides into, multiple anchors will be generated with different scales and ratios



RPN uses a sliding window to run across the feature map to generate proposal anchors.

Anchors will be passed into two convolutional layers to be processed:

- Bounding Box Classifier layer(cls): determine which anchor contains object.
- Bounding Box Regressor layer: finding properly coordinates of anchors.

ROI output of RPN will be put into ROI align to resize into same size.

### **Mask Branch**



### **Cascade Mask R-CNN**



Fig. 6: Architectures of the Mask R-CNN (a) and three Cascade Mask R-CNN strategies for instance segmentation (b)-(d). Beyond the definitions of Fig. 3, "S" denotes a segmentation branch. Note that segmentations branches do not necessarily share heads with the detection branch.

### Method



• Cascade Mask R-CNN with Swin B Transformer as back bone

• Swin B Transformer integrates Feature Pyramid Network for different scales of objects

• Cascade architecture for improving higher accuracy of segmentation.

### **Model Implementation**

MMDetection is an object detection toolbox that contains a rich set of object detection, instance segmentation, and panoptic segmentation methods.

**MMDetection** is built on Pytorch.



### **LOSS FUNCTION**

#### **Classification Loss**

 $\mathcal{L}_{ ext{cls}}(p_i,p_i^*) = -p_i^*\log p_i - (1-p_i^*)\log(1-p_i)$ 

#### **Bounding box Loss**

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{box}}(t^u,v) = \sum_{i \in \{x,y,w,h\}} L_1^{ ext{smooth}}(t^u_i - v_i)$$

 $L_1^{\mathrm{smooth}}(x) = egin{cases} 0.5x^2 & ext{if} \ |x| < 1 \ |x| = 0.5 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

#### Mask Loss

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{mask}} = -rac{1}{m^2} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \left[ y_{ij} \log \hat{y}^k_{ij} + (1-y_{ij}) \log(1-\hat{y}^k_{ij}) 
ight]$$

#### **Total Loss**

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ ext{cls}} + \mathcal{L}_{ ext{box}} + \mathcal{L}_{ ext{mask}}$$

### **Evaluation metrics**

#### **Intersection of Union:**

$$IoU = \frac{area(B_p \cap B_{gt})}{area(B_p \cup B_{gt})}$$

#### **Precision and Recall:**

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

#### Mean Average Precision:

$$mAP = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=2}^{N} (r_i - r_{i-1}) \frac{p_{i-1} - p_i}{2}$$



## Result

### Training

- CPU: AMD Ryzen 7 5700G
- GPU: Radeon Graphics NVIDIA RTX A4000



### Loss during training



(c) Class loss

(d) Mask loss

28 31

----- bounding box loss

stage 1 bounding box loss

----- mask loss stage 1

-mask loss stage 3

34 37 40

stage 2

Loss over epochs for SwinB-Cascade Mask RCNN

### **Total loss of model**



### **Result of Damage detection**

Result				
Damaged name	Recall	mAP		
Dent, flatten (thumb)	0.586	0.421		
Cracked	0.740	0.547		
Broken, punctured, torn	0.415	0.117		
Scratched	0.584	0.380		
Shed	0.282	0.083		

### **Models Comparison**

Comparision between Models					
Model	bbox mAP 50	bbox mAP 75	seg mAP 50	seg mAP 75	
Resnext101CascadeMaskRCNN	0.817	0.721	0.797	0.650	
Resnext101 HTC	0.838	0.724	0.805	0.656	
Swin-T Cascade Mask RCNN	0.817	0.699	0.801	0.661	
Swin-B Cascade Mask RCNN	0.836	0.771	0.817	0.705	



## Conclusion

- The effective use of segmentation of detecting parts into the insurance will be extremely beneficial for companies.
- By using Artificial Intelligence, the project achieves high accuracy in recognizing and distinguishing car parts such as doors, wheels, glass, etc...
- Reduce the time and effort required for claim processing by providing faster and more efficient service to customers.

## **FUTURE WORK**

- Extend model training data.
- Improved damage accuracy.
- Application in real-life and some insurance company.
- Developing mobile applications to increase popularity among users.



# THANK YOU

